

Geometry & Topology Monographs
Volume 2: Proceedings of the Kirbyfest
Pages 473–488

A polynomial invariant of diffeomorphisms of 4–manifolds

DANIEL RUBERMAN

Abstract We use a 1–parameter version of gauge theory to investigate the topology of the diffeomorphism group of 4–manifolds. A polynomial invariant, analogous to the Donaldson polynomial, is defined, and is used to show that the diffeomorphism group of certain simply-connected 4–manifolds has infinitely generated π_0 .

AMS Classification 57R52; 57R57

Keywords Diffeomorphism, isotopy, 4–manifold

Dedicated to Rob Kirby on the occasion of his 60th birthday.

1 Introduction

The issue of whether topological and smooth isotopy coincide for diffeomorphisms of 4–manifolds was recently resolved in the author’s paper [16]. That work defined an invariant, roughly analogous to the degree–0 part of the Donaldson invariant of a 4–manifold, which serves as an effective obstruction to smooth isotopy. In the current paper, we will extend the definition of the invariant to give a polynomial-type invariant, which is analogous to the full Donaldson polynomial. As an application of the polynomial invariant, we will show that π_0 of the diffeomorphism group of certain 4–manifolds is infinitely generated.

It is worth stating this last result somewhat more precisely. For any compact 4–manifold X , one can consider its (orientation-preserving) diffeomorphism group $\text{Diff}^+(X)$. Taking the induced map on homology defines a homomorphism from $\text{Diff}^+(X)$ to the automorphism group of the intersection form of X ; in many cases this map is a surjection. Let us denote by $\text{Diff}_H(X) \subset \text{Diff}^+(X)$ the kernel of this map.

Theorem A Let Z_n ($n \geq 2$) denote the connected sum

$$\#_{k_n} \mathbf{CP}^2 \#_{l_n} \overline{\mathbf{CP}}^2$$

where $k_n = 2n$ and $l_n = 10n + 1$. Then there is a homomorphism

$$\mathbf{D}: \pi_0(\text{Diff}_H(Z_n)) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}[[H_2(Z_n)^*]]$$

with infinitely generated image.

The numbers appearing in the definition of Z_n are less obscure than might appear at first glance; the manifold Z_n is diffeomorphic to the elliptic surface $E(n)$, connected sum with \mathbf{CP}^2 and two copies of $\overline{\mathbf{CP}}^2$. As will become evident in the proof, the conclusion that the image of \mathbf{D} is infinitely generated derives from the fact that $E(n)$ supports infinitely many smooth structures which become diffeomorphic upon connected-sum with \mathbf{CP}^2 .

Acknowledgement The author was partially supported by NSF Grant 4-50645.

2 Invariants of diffeomorphisms

Let us start with a brief review of the definition of the 0-degree invariant discussed in [16]. The conditions discussed below having to do with orientations are used in defining the invariant as an element of \mathbf{Z} , rather than merely modulo 2. The data necessary for the definition are:

- (1) A smooth, simply-connected, oriented, homology-oriented 4-manifold Y with $b_+^2 > 2$.
- (2) An $\text{SO}(3)$ bundle $P \rightarrow Y$ such that $w_2(P) \neq 0$, and with $\dim(\mathcal{M}(P)) = -1$. (Here $\mathcal{M}(P)$ is the moduli space of anti-self-dual connections on P .)
- (3) An integral lift $c \in H^2(Y; \mathbf{Z})$ of $w_2(P)$.
- (4) An orientation-preserving diffeomorphism f of Y such that $f^*(P) \cong P$, and such that the quantity $\alpha(f)\beta(f) = 1$.

The product $\alpha\beta \in \{\pm 1\}$ in the last item indicates, roughly, whether f preserves or reverses the orientation of the moduli space. The numbers α and β are themselves defined as follows:

- Composing a projection of $H^2(Y; \mathbf{R})$ onto $H_+^2(Y)$ with f^* defines an isomorphism of $H_+^2(Y)$ with itself; the sign of the determinant (which is independent of all choices) determines the spinor norm, $\alpha(f) \in \{\pm 1\}$.

- The condition that $f^*P \cong P$ implies that $f^*w_2 = w_2$, or in other words that $f^*c - c$ is divisible by 2 in $H^2(Y)$. One thereby can define $\beta(f) = (-1)^{(\frac{f^*c-c}{2})^2}$.

Under these conditions, for a generic metric $g \in \text{Met}(Y)$, the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(P; g_0)$ (connections which are g_0 -anti-self-dual) is empty. If one considers instead a generic path $g_t \in \text{Met}(Y)$ of metrics from g_0 to $g_1 = f^*g_0$, then one can construct the 1-parameter moduli space

$$\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(P; \{g_t\}) = \bigcup_{t \in [0,1]} \mathcal{M}(P; g_t).$$

The count of points, with signs, in this 0-dimensional moduli space defines an invariant $D(f)$ (or $D_Y(f; P)$ if one needs to keep track of the manifold and/or the bundle).

The independence of $D(f)$ from the choice of initial metric $g_0 \in \text{Met}(Y)$ and of the choice of generic path are proved using a 2-parameter moduli space

$$\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{M}}} = \bigcup_{(s,t) \in I \times I} \mathcal{M}(P, K_{s,t}).$$

Here $K_{s,t}$ is a 2-parameter family of metrics giving a homotopy from one path of metrics $g_s = K_{s,0}$ to $k_s = K_{s,1}$. The proof in each case uses a choice of ‘boundary conditions’ for the endpoints of the homotopy. A fundamental point is that the parameter space $\text{Met}(Y)$ is simply connected, so that an arbitrary assignment of metrics on the boundary of the (s, t) square $I \times I$ can be filled in smoothly. So for instance, to verify independence from the choice of path, use a 2-parameter family in which the endpoints are fixed: $K_{0,t} = g_0$ and $K_{1,t} = g_1$. To verify that the initial metrics g_0 and k_0 give the same value for $D(f)$, use an arbitrary path from g_0 to k_0 for $K_{0,t}$ with the proviso that the right endpoints $K_{1,t}$ are equal to $f^*K_{0,t}$.

In both arguments, the principle used is that on the one hand, the boundary of the 2-parameter moduli space $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{M}}}$, which is a compact 1-manifold, consists of algebraically 0 points. On the other hand, the boundary is also the union of the 1-parameter moduli spaces associated to the four sides of the (s, t) square. In the first case, the right and left sides of the square are fixed at generic metrics defining empty moduli spaces, so the boundary is the difference between the invariant computed with the two different paths on the top and bottom. In the second case, one must account for the additional part of the boundary, given by the difference between the (algebraic) count of points on the left and right sides. However, the choice of boundary conditions takes care of this, because

there is an isomorphism between $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(P; K_{0,t})$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(P; f^*K_{0,t})$ and so the contributions from the two sides cancel.

2.1 A polynomial invariant of diffeomorphisms

It is natural to try to extend $D(f)$ to a polynomial in $H_0(Y) \oplus H_2(Y)$, by considering an $SU(2)$ or $SO(3)$ bundle P for which the ASD moduli space $\mathcal{M}(P)$ has positive odd dimension, and cutting down by divisors. Recall that in the construction of the usual Donaldson polynomial, the divisor associated to a surface Σ in Y is defined in several steps. One first considers the space of irreducible connections on Σ , together with the restriction map $r_\Sigma: \mathcal{B}^{*,\Sigma}(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^*(\Sigma)$. Here $\mathcal{B}^{*,\Sigma}(Y)$ consists of connections whose restriction to Σ is irreducible. An important remark (cf [5, section 9.2.3]) is that for a generic surface $\Sigma \subset Y$, the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(P)$ is contained in $\mathcal{B}^{*,\Sigma}(Y)$. There is a natural line bundle $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^*(\Sigma)$, and one chooses a section, which is then pulled back to $\mathcal{B}^{*,\Sigma}(Y)$. If these constructions are done with some care, then the zero-set of the pulled-back section defines a divisor V_Σ . Since we are only concerned with intersections of V_Σ with $\mathcal{M}(P)$, we will follow the standard notational abuse and drop the superscript Σ . A similar construction gives a codimension-4 submanifold V_x of $\mathcal{B}^*(Y)$ which represents the dual of μ of a point $x \in Y$.

Now these constructions depend on a number of choices, e.g. the specific representative of the homology class $[\Sigma]$, and the choice of section of \mathcal{L}_Σ . If the ‘space’ of possible choices were simply-connected, then one could incorporate them into the parameter space Π , and proceed precisely as in the definitions in Section 2 of [16]. The space of sections of \mathcal{L}_Σ is certainly contractible, and hence simply-connected. One can in fact make sense of the space of 2-cycles [1], and its fundamental group turns out to be precisely $H_3(Y)$. For our purposes, though, we do not need this remarkable fact, and will work directly with the condition that $H_3(Y) = 0$. By Poincaré duality, this is equivalent to assuming $H^1(Y) = 0$. For simplicity, we will in fact assume that $\pi_1(Y) = 0$. Thus $H_1(Y) = 0$, which in turn is the condition needed to incorporate the 0-dimensional class.

We will initially define a polynomial $D(f)$ of degree d , under one of two hypotheses. We assume that either $w_2(P) \neq 0$, or that invariants are being computed in the ‘strong’ stable range: $d \geq 2c_2(P) + 2$. Either of these assumptions will ensure, via the standard counting argument of Donaldson theory, the compactness of all of the low-dimensional moduli spaces which appear in the definition. In Section 3, we will prove a blow-up formula, which will then be used to define $D(f)$ in all degrees.

For a collection of homology classes $[\Sigma_i] \in H_2(Y)$, represented by embedded surfaces Σ_i , one could consider divisors V_{Σ_i} , in sufficient numbers so that the moduli space

$$\left(\bigcup_t \mathcal{M}(P; \{g_t\}) \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_i V_{\Sigma_i} \right)$$

is 0-dimensional. (The 0-dimensional class could be included, in a similar manner.) Let us write

$$D(P; \{g_t\}, V_{\Sigma_1}, \dots, V_{\Sigma_d})$$

for the algebraic count of points in this intersection. $D(P; \{g_t\}, V_{\Sigma_1}, \dots, V_{\Sigma_d})$ is readily seen to be independent of the choice path connecting g_0 and f^*g_0 , by the same argument as outline above. However, the argument that this count is independent of representatives of the divisors and of initial metric g_0 breaks down. To see this (and what to do about it) consider, as in the discussion above two initial metrics $g_0 = K_{0,0}$ and $k_0 = K_{0,1}$, with a generic path $K_{0,t}$ between them. Following that construction, we take a 2-parameter family of metrics $K_{s,t}$ (with $K_{1,t} = f^*K_{0,t}$) and an associated 2-parameter moduli space $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{YM}$. Intersecting with the divisors V_{Σ} gives a null-cobordism of $\partial\tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{YM}$. *A priori*, f does not match up the right and left sides of this cobordism, as one would need in order to get a cobordism between top and bottom.

Indeed, f induces an isomorphism between

$$\bigcup_t \mathcal{M}(P; K_{0,t}) \cap \left(\bigcap_i V_{\Sigma_i} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcup_t \mathcal{M}(P; K_{1,t}) \cap \left(\bigcap_i f^*V_{\Sigma_i} \right) \quad (1)$$

where f^*V_{Σ} is the inverse image of V_{Σ} under the diffeomorphism $f^*: \mathcal{B}(P) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(P)$ induced by f . There is no good reason to expect that $f^*V_{\Sigma} = V_{\Sigma}$. Among other things, $f(\Sigma)$ might not even be homologous to Σ . In order to get a diffeomorphism invariant, some restrictions are needed; here is one approach.

Let \mathcal{V} (or $\mathcal{V}(f)$ if the diffeomorphism needs to be specified) be the subgroup of $H_2(Y)$ fixed by the action of f_* ; the invariant will be a polynomial in $H_0(Y) \oplus \mathcal{V}$. Represent an element in \mathcal{V} by a generic surface Σ in Y , and choose a generic 3-chain C giving a homology between Σ and $f(\Sigma)$. (From a technical point of view, it would perhaps be preferable to let C be the image of an oriented 3-manifold via a smooth map to Y , but we will ignore this point for the moment.) As in Donaldson's original work [4], consider a line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{\Sigma} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^*(C)$ and a section s_{Σ} whose pull-back to $\mathcal{B}(Y)$ defines the divisor V_{Σ} . Using the action of f , we get a section of $\mathcal{L}_{f(\Sigma)}$, whose divisor is $V_{f(\Sigma)}$. Now \mathcal{L}_{Σ} and $\mathcal{L}_{f(\Sigma)}$ are

equivalent when pulled back to $\mathcal{B}(C)$, and a choice of homotopy between their corresponding sections gives a cobordism V_C between V_Σ and $V_{f(\Sigma)}$.

By analogy with $D(P; \{g_t\}, V_{\Sigma_1}, \dots, V_{\Sigma_d})$, we define, for any 3-chain C_1 and generic metric g , the invariant

$$D(P; g, V_{C_1}, V_{\Sigma_2}, \dots, V_{\Sigma_d}) = \# \left[\mathcal{M}(P; g) \bigcap (V_{C_1} \cap V_{\Sigma_2} \cdots \cap V_{\Sigma_d}) \right].$$

The term corresponding to the 3-chain can go in any slot, in place of the corresponding V_Σ .

Using the cobordisms V_C , we can finally give the actual definition of a polynomial invariant.

Definition 2.1 Let $f: Y \rightarrow Y$ be an orientation preserving diffeomorphism. Assume that:

- (1) $H_1(Y) = 0$.
- (2) P is an $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ or $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ bundle such that $f^*P \cong P$.
- (3) $w_2(P) \neq 0$ or (if P is an $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ bundle) $d \geq 2c_2(P) + 2$.
- (4) $\alpha(f)\beta(f) = 1$.

Let $\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_d$ be generic surfaces carrying homology classes in $\mathcal{V} = \ker(f_* - 1)$, and suppose that $-2p_1(P) - 3(b_2^+(Y) + 1) = 2d - 1$. Let C_1, \dots, C_d be generic 3-chains in Y such that $\partial C_i = f(\Sigma_i) - \Sigma_i$. For a metric g_0 on Y , let $\{g_t\}$ be a smooth path such that $g_1 = f^*g_0$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} D_Y(f; \Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_n) = & D(P; \{g_t\}, V_{\Sigma_1}, \dots, V_{\Sigma_d}) \\ & + D(P; g_1, V_{C_1}, V_{\Sigma_2}, \dots, V_{\Sigma_d}) \\ & + D(P; g_1, V_{f(\Sigma_1)}, V_{C_2}, \dots, V_{\Sigma_d}) \\ & \vdots \\ & + D(P; g_1, V_{f(\Sigma_1)}, V_{f(\Sigma_2)}, \dots, V_{C_d}). \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

The term ‘generic’ for a metric g means that the moduli space is smooth of the expected dimension, with no reducibles. All surfaces Σ_i and 3-chains C_j , as well as sections of associated line bundles (and homotopies of such) are to be in general position, so the intersections with $\mathcal{M}(P; g)$ is smooth of the expected dimension as well. Without loss of generality, one can demand that the same is true of intersections with divisors $V_{f(\Sigma)}$ and $V_{f(C)}$ as well.

Remark This definition seems complicated, so some explanation may be helpful. The idea of the invariants under discussion is to use the moduli space associated to a path in the space of choices of parameters used in defining an ordinary invariant of a single 4-manifold. The parameter space involved in the usual degree- d Donaldson invariant is roughly $\text{Met}(Y) \times (\mathcal{C}_2)^d$ where \mathcal{C}_2 is the space of 2-cycles in the relevant homology classes. The role of a path in the k^{th} factor of \mathcal{C}_2 is played by a 3-chain C_k . In these terms, the definition says to take a ‘path’ from $(g_0, \Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_d)$ to $(f^*g_0, f(\Sigma_1), \dots, f(\Sigma_d))$ which is a composition of paths, each having non-constant projection into one factor at a time.

Theorem 2.2 *Under hypotheses (1)–(4) in Definition 2.1, $D_Y(f; \Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_n)$ does not depend on the choice of initial generic metric g_0 and path g_t , on the choice of surfaces representing $[\Sigma_i]$, or on the choice of 3-chains C_i .*

Proof The independence of $D(f)$ from choice (relative to the endpoints) of the path g_t is identical to that given before, because the only term which could possibly change is the first. The independence from the initial point g_0 is more elaborate, as suggested by the discussion above. Let k_0 be another generic metric, and $K_{s,t}$ a 2-parameter family of metrics with

- $K_{0,t}$ a generic path from g_0 to k_0 ;
- $K_{s,0}$ a generic path from g_0 to $g_1 = f^*g_0$;
- $K_{s,1}$ a generic path from k_0 to $k_1 = f^*k_0$;
- $K_{1,t} = f^*K_{0,t}$.

As before, we get a 2-parameter moduli space

$$\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(P; \{K_{s,t}\}) = \left(\bigcup_{(s,t) \in I \times I} \mathcal{M}(P; K_{s,t}) \right) \cap (V_{\Sigma_1} \cap V_{\Sigma_2} \cdots \cap V_{\Sigma_d}) \quad (3.0)$$

which is a compact oriented 1-manifold.

Treating the 3-chains C_j as parameters, in the spirit of the preceding remarks, we consider the following collection of 2-parameter moduli spaces, which again are 1-dimensional manifolds with boundary.

$$\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(P; \{K_{1,t}\}, C_1) = \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(P; \{K_{1,t}\}) \cap (V_{C_1} \cap V_{\Sigma_2} \cdots \cap V_{\Sigma_d}) \quad (3.1)$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(P; \{K_{1,t}\}, C_2) = \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(P; \{K_{1,t}\}) \cap (V_{f(\Sigma_1)} \cap V_{C_2} \cdots \cap V_{\Sigma_d}) \quad (3.2)$$

$$\vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(P; \{K_{1,t}\}, C_d) = \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(P; \{K_{1,t}\}) \cap (V_{f(\Sigma_1)} \cap V_{f(\Sigma_2)} \cdots \cap V_{C_d}) \quad (3.d)$$

The boundary of each of the 1-dimensional moduli spaces (3.0), (3.1), \dots , (3.d) has algebraically 0 points. As discussed before, the boundary of each 2-parameter moduli space can alternatively be described as the sum of the algebraic counts of points in appropriate 1-parameter moduli spaces. This leads to $d + 1$ equations:

$$0 = D(P; \{k_s\}, V_{\Sigma_1}, \dots, V_{\Sigma_d}) - D(P; \{g_s\}, V_{\Sigma_1}, \dots, V_{\Sigma_d}) \quad (4.0)$$

$$- D(P; \{K_{0,t}\}, V_{\Sigma_1}, \dots, V_{\Sigma_d}) + D(P; \{K_{1,t}\}, V_{\Sigma_1}, \dots, V_{\Sigma_d})$$

$$0 = D(P; k_1, V_{C_1}, V_{\Sigma_2}, \dots, V_{\Sigma_d}) - D(P; g_1, V_{C_1}, V_{\Sigma_2}, \dots, V_{\Sigma_d}) \quad (4.1)$$

$$- D(P; \{K_{1,t}\}, V_{\Sigma_1}, V_{\Sigma_2}, \dots, V_{\Sigma_d}) + D(P; \{K_{1,t}\}, V_{f(\Sigma_1)}, V_{\Sigma_2}, \dots, V_{\Sigma_d})$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\vdots$$

$$0 = D(P; k_1, V_{f(\Sigma_1)}, V_{f(\Sigma_2)}, \dots, V_{C_d}) - D(P; g_1, V_{f(\Sigma_1)}, V_{f(\Sigma_2)}, \dots, V_{C_d}) \quad (4.d)$$

$$- D(P; \{K_{1,t}\}, V_{f(\Sigma_1)}, V_{f(\Sigma_2)}, \dots, V_{\Sigma_d}) + D(P; \{K_{1,t}\}, V_{f(\Sigma_1)}, V_{f(\Sigma_2)}, \dots, V_{f(\Sigma_d)})$$

Adding these equations together, most of the terms cancel in pairs, leaving the difference between the invariant calculated with the paths $\{k_s\}$ and $\{g_s\}$, plus

$$D(P; \{K_{1,t}\}, V_{f(\Sigma_1)}, V_{f(\Sigma_2)}, \dots, V_{f(\Sigma_d)}) - D(P; \{K_{0,t}\}, V_{\Sigma_1}, \dots, V_{\Sigma_d}).$$

However, the isomorphism (1), coupled with the orientation hypothesis that $\alpha(f)\beta(f) = 1$, means that the two terms are equal, and so the invariant doesn't depend on the choice of initial metric g_0 .

The other choices of parameters involved in the definition of $D(f)$ are: the specific surface representing $[\Sigma_i]$, the choice of section defining V_{Σ_i} , the choice of 3-chain C_i with $\partial C_i = f(\Sigma_i) - \Sigma_i$, and the section defining V_{C_i} . As remarked earlier, the verification that, for fixed Σ_i , the choices of section don't affect the value of $D(f)$ is virtually identical to arguments given above, because sections vary in a contractible space. A similar remark applies to the choice of V_C , given a specific 3-chain C .

The independence from the choice of Σ 's and C 's differs in that a substitute must be found for one basic mechanism: the existence of the family $K_{s,t}$ derives from the fact that the space of metrics is simply connected. The idea is the same for all of the choices; we will illustrate the point in the simplest instance. So suppose that two 3-chains C_1 and C'_1 are given, both of which have boundary $f(\Sigma_1) - \Sigma_1$. The only place in equation (2) in which C_1 enters is in the term

$$D(P; g_1, V_{C_1}, V_{\Sigma_2}, \dots, V_{\Sigma_d}).$$

Because the 3-chains have the same boundary, it follows that $C'_1 - C_1$ is a 3-cycle which is a boundary of a 4-chain Δ , by our hypothesis that $H_3(Y) = 0$.

One can use restriction to connections on Δ to define a 1-dimensional moduli space $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$. Taking the boundary of this moduli space gives

$$D(P; g_1, V_{C_1}, V_{\Sigma_2}, \dots, V_{\Sigma_d}) = D(P; g_1, V_{C'_1}, V_{\Sigma_2}, \dots, V_{\Sigma_d})$$

by the standard argument. \square

A similar technique may be used to incorporate the 0-dimensional class. The invariant is readily checked to be multilinear, and so defines a polynomial invariant in $P[H_0(Y) \oplus \mathcal{V}(f)]$. Some other basic properties are summarized in the following theorem; they are analogous to properties which hold for the degree 0 part, and are proved in the same way.

Theorem 2.3 *Let f and g be diffeomorphisms for which invariants $D_Y(f)$ and $D_Y(g)$ are defined.*

- (1) *The polynomials of a composition are defined on $H_0(Y) \oplus \mathcal{V}(f, g)$, where $\mathcal{V}(f, g) = \mathcal{V}(f) \cap \mathcal{V}(g)$, and satisfy*

$$D_Y(f \circ g) = D_Y(g \circ f) = D_Y(f) + D_Y(g).$$

- (2) *The polynomial of f^{-1} is $-D_Y(f)$.*
- (3) *If f and g are isotopic, then $D(f) = D(g)$.*

Because the applications are all to simply-connected manifolds, we haven't stated the theorems in maximum generality. The weakest set of hypotheses which would give rise to an invariant of the type described in this section would seem to be that $H_1(Y; \mathbf{Q}) = 0$, and that $w_2(P)$ is not the pullback of a class in $H^2(B\pi_1(Y); \mathbf{Z}_2)$. The invariant would then be \mathbf{Q} rather than in \mathbf{Z} -valued.

3 Some basic theorems of 1-parameter gauge theory

In this section we will state (and sketch proofs of) analogues of the basic connected-sum and blowup formulas for the Donaldson invariant. Undoubtedly, more elaborate versions of the gluing principles in gauge theory will work in the 1-parameter context, but we will state only those theorems which we actually use. The simple situation in which we work may be summarized in the following definition.

Definition 3.1 Suppose that f and g are diffeomorphisms of manifolds X and Y , which are the identity near base points x and y . The connected sum $f \# g$ is the obvious diffeomorphism on the connected sum $X \# Y$; it depends up to isotopy only on the isotopy classes of f and g relative to neighborhoods of the base points.

A useful (cf [13]) technical device for the ordinary Donaldson polynomial is the fact that no (rational) information is lost if one replaces a manifold by its connected sum with $\overline{\mathbf{CP}}^2$. A similar principle holds for the 1-parameter invariants. To state this, let $L_0 \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{CP}}^2$ be the complex line bundle such that $c_1(L_0)$ is Poincaré dual to the exceptional curve E in $\overline{\mathbf{CP}}^2$.

Theorem 3.2 Suppose that the polynomial invariant $D(f, P)$ is defined for a diffeomorphism $f: Y \rightarrow Y$. Then the invariant $D(f \# id_{\overline{\mathbf{CP}}^2}, P \# (L_0 \oplus \mathbf{R}))$ is defined, and satisfies

$$D(f \# id_{\overline{\mathbf{CP}}^2}, P \# (L_0 \oplus \mathbf{R}))(E, E) = -2D(f, P). \quad (5)$$

Proof Choose a path of metrics and a collection of 3-chains C_i with $\partial C_i = f(\Sigma_i) - \Sigma_i$ which define $D(f, P)$. The path can be assumed to be constant near the connected sum point, so it extends to give a path of metrics on $Y \# \overline{\mathbf{CP}}^2$. Similarly, the 3-chains can be assumed to miss the connected sum point, so they are 3-chains in the connected sum in a natural way.

Now we use a standard gluing argument: choose a metric on $Y \# \overline{\mathbf{CP}}^2$ with a long tube along the S^3 . For sufficiently long tube length, we can calculate each term in the definition of the invariant. The 3-chain C with $\partial C = f(C) - C$ may be taken to be degenerate, so that the last two terms (of the form $D(P; g_1, V_{f(\Sigma_1)}, V_{f(\Sigma_2)}, \dots, V_{f(\Sigma_d)}, V_{f(E)}, V_C)$) are 0 for dimensional reasons. The moduli spaces corresponding to the other terms in the definition, may all be described by the Kuranishi model for the 1-parameter moduli space, as in [16]. The local picture, and hence the calculation of the coefficients, is the same as in the proof of the usual blowup formula. \square

Following the scheme laid out in [13], we can extend the definition of the invariants $D(f)$ outside the ‘stable range’ by repeatedly blowing up to increase the energy, and then using (5). The result is that the invariant of f is a collection of rational-valued polynomials of arbitrary degree in $H_0(Y) \oplus \mathcal{V}(f)$. Following [9] we introduce the notion of a diffeomorphism being of *simple type*, and assemble the polynomials into a formal power series $\mathcal{D}(f)$, which we will call

the Donaldson series of f . In the examples discussed below and in the next section, the power series are determined by a set of basic classes, as in the main theorem of [9]. It would be of interest to know if such a structure theorem holds more generally under the simple type hypothesis.

There is also a version of the connected sum theorem; the proof is a simple dimension-counting argument and will be omitted.

Theorem 3.3 *Suppose that $f_i: Y_i \rightarrow Y_i$ are diffeomorphisms, where Y_i (for $i = 1, 2$) are 4-manifolds satisfying $b_+^2(Y_i) \geq 2$. Then any invariant $D(f_1 \# f_2, P_1 \# P_2)$ which is defined must vanish.*

The remaining case to investigate is when $b_+^2(Y_1) \geq 2$ and $b_+^2(Y_2) = 1$. The result is more complicated, and it depends on the behavior of the diffeomorphism f_2 . The basic idea is that the evaluation of the 1-parameter invariant on homology classes supported in Y_1 is, in some circumstances, the product of an ordinary Donaldson invariant of Y_1 with a term related to the wall-crossing phenomenon characteristic of gauge theory on manifolds with $b_+^2 = 1$. A completely general treatment would run into unresolved problems associated with that theory (under the general rubric of the *Kotschick–Morgan conjecture*—cf [7, 8]). We will state a relatively simple version, which avoids these technicalities, but which suffices for the main application. A reasonable extension of this statement, parallel to the Kotschick–Morgan conjecture, would be that the restriction of $D(f_1 \# f_2)$ to $H_2(Y_1)$ depends in some universal fashion on $D(f_1)$ and the action of f_2 on cohomology. The full polynomial (ie including $H_2(Y_2)$) is also of interest.

Let N be a simply-connected manifold with $b_+^2 = 1$, and let $L \rightarrow N$ be a complex line bundle with $c_1(L)^2 = -1$. Note that this implies that $w_2(P_N) \neq 0$, where P_N is the $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ bundle over N associated to $L \oplus \mathbf{R}$. A choice of orientation for $H_+^2(N)$ picks out a positive sheet of the hyperboloid $\mathcal{H} = \{\alpha \in H_+^2(N) \mid \alpha^2 = 1\}$. Inside \mathcal{H} lie the walls \mathcal{W} , where a wall is the orthogonal complement (intersected with \mathcal{H}) of a class $x \in H^2(N; \mathbf{Z})$ satisfying $x \equiv c_1(L) \pmod{2}$ and $x^2 = 1$. The walls are transversally oriented, and form a locally finite something or other. Note that any metric g on N determines a unique self-dual harmonic form $\omega_g \in \mathcal{H}$, called its period point.

Let f_N be a diffeomorphism of N which is the identity near a point of N , which has the property that f_N^* preserves $w_2(P_N)$, and satisfies $\alpha(f_N)\beta(f_N) = 1$. Such diffeomorphisms were constructed on $N = \mathbf{CP}^2 \#_2 \overline{\mathbf{CP}}^2$ in section 3 of [16], and easily extend to arbitrary connected sums $\mathbf{CP}^2 \#_k \overline{\mathbf{CP}}^2$. Let g_0^N be a metric

on N , which is fixed by f near the connected sum point, and whose period point does not lie on any of the walls. Join g_0^N to $f^*(g_0^N)$ by a path whose induced path γ of period points is transverse to \mathcal{W} . Using the transverse orientation of \mathcal{W} , the intersection number of this path with \mathcal{W} is well-defined.

Theorem 3.4 *Let f be the diffeomorphism of $Z = Y \# N$ gotten by gluing f_N to the identity of Y . Then $D_Z(f) = 2(\gamma \cdot \mathcal{W})D_Y$.*

4 Applications to the topology of the diffeomorphism group

The 1-parameter invariants, as extended in the previous section, fit together naturally to give a homomorphism which will show that $\pi_0(\text{Diff}_H)$ can be infinitely generated, proving Theorem A of the introduction. (Recall that $\text{Diff}_H(Z)$ is the subgroup of the diffeomorphism group consisting of diffeomorphisms which act trivially on homology.)

There is a small technical observation to be made in order to draw conclusions about $\pi_0(\text{Diff})$ from our results. Namely, two diffeomorphisms are in the same path component of Diff if and only if they are isotopic. This seems a little surprising at first, because there is no smoothness required for a path in Diff . The proof relies on simple properties of the Whitney \mathcal{C}^∞ topology on smooth maps, and is quite standard in the subject—compare [14, Definition 3.9 and Problem 4.6] and [2].

Combining this observation, the definition of the Donaldson series of a diffeomorphism, and Theorem 2.3, we get the following result.

Theorem 4.1 *Let Y be a 4-manifold with b_+^2 an even number ≥ 4 . Then the Donaldson series defines a homomorphism*

$$\mathbf{D}: \pi_0(\text{Diff}_H(Y)) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}[[H_2(Y)^*]].$$

The proof of Theorem A will be completed by showing that for the manifolds Z_n described in the introduction, the image is infinitely generated.

Proof of Theorem A Suppose that Z is of the form $Y \# N$, where $N = \mathbf{CP}^2 \#_2 \overline{\mathbf{CP}}^2$, and notice that restriction defines a homomorphism

$$r_Y^*: \mathbf{R}[[H_2(Z)^*]] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}[[H_2(Y)^*]].$$

Let f be a diffeomorphism of the form $id_Z \# f_N$, as discussed before Theorem 3.4. In particular, f_N should be chosen as a composition of reflections in two different (-1) -spheres, as in [16]; the intersection number $\gamma \cdot \mathcal{W}$ is computed in that paper to be -2 . Suppose finally that Y has simple type in the sense of [9], so that its Donaldson series \mathbf{D}_Y is determined by a finite set of basic classes $\kappa_i(Y) \in H^2(Y; \mathbf{Z})$. Rewriting Theorem 3.4 in terms of the Donaldson series of f , we see that $r_Y^* \mathbf{D}(f) = -4\mathbf{D}_Y$. In particular, $r_Y^* \mathbf{D}(f)$ has the form described by the structure theorem of [9], and so is determined by the same set of basic classes $\kappa_i(f) = \kappa_i(Y)$. Moreover, the coefficients $\beta_i(f)$ in the expression of the series as a sum of exponentials of the κ_i , are equal to the corresponding coefficients for Y .

Under composition of diffeomorphisms the Donaldson series add. For diffeomorphisms $f, g \in \text{Diff}_H(Z)$ whose series are determined by basic classes, this implies the following statement. The set of basic classes for $f \circ g$ is the union of the set of basic classes for f and for g , leaving out those basic classes which f and g have in common but whose coefficients cancel. In other words, a basic class $\kappa_i(f) = \kappa_j(g)$ is removed from the union if the coefficient $\beta_i(f) = -\beta_j(g)$.

In the paragraphs which follow, we will show that if Z is any one of the manifolds described in the statement of Theorem A, then it admits a series of diffeomorphisms $\{f_j\}$ ($j = 1, \dots, \infty$) which are all homotopic to the identity, with the property that f_m has at least m different basic classes. We claim that the image under \mathbf{D} of the subgroup of $\pi_0(\text{Diff}_H(Z))$ generated by the f_j is infinitely generated. Suppose that the diffeomorphisms have been indexed so that f_m has at least one basic class which does not occur in the list of basic classes for the f_j for $j < m$. Note that if K_1, \dots, K_n are distinct elements in $H^2(Y)$, then the exponentials $\exp(K_1), \dots, \exp(K_n)$ are linearly independent elements in the power series ring $\mathbf{R}[[H_2(Y)^*]]$. Thus in any linear relation

$$\sum_{j=1}^m a_j \mathbf{D}(f_j) = 0$$

the coefficient a_m must be 0. The claim follows immediately by induction, and so we have that $\pi_0(\text{Diff}_H(Z))$ is infinitely generated.

Let Y_n denote $\#_{2n-1} \mathbf{CP}^2 \#_{10n-1} \overline{\mathbf{CP}}^2$ for n odd, and $\#_{2n-1} \mathbf{CP}^2 \#_{10n} \overline{\mathbf{CP}}^2$ for n even. The manifold Z_n will be simply $Y_n \# N$, where $N = \mathbf{CP}^2 \#_2 \overline{\mathbf{CP}}^2$ as before. Let $E(n)$ be the simply-connected elliptic surface with $p_g = n - 1$ and no multiple fiber, and let $E(n; p)$ denote the result of a single logarithmic transform on a fiber in $E(n)$. The standard convention is that $E(n; 1)$ is the same as $E(n)$.

We will make use of the following facts about these manifolds.

- (1) For n odd, $E(n; p) \simeq Y_n$, and for n even, $E(n; p) \# \overline{\mathbf{CP}}^2 \simeq Y_n$.
- (2) $E(n; p) \# \mathbf{CP}^2$ decomposes completely into a connected sum of \mathbf{CP}^2 's and $\overline{\mathbf{CP}}^2$'s. See [11] or [10, 12] for more details.
- (3) The diffeomorphism group of $Y_n \# \mathbf{CP}^2$ acts transitively on elements in $H_2(Z_n)$ of given square, divisibility, and type (ie characteristic or not) [17].
- (4) The Donaldson series for $E(n; p)$ is given [6] by

$$\mathbf{D}_{E(n; p)} = \exp(Q/2) \frac{\sinh^{n-1}(f)}{\sinh(f_p)}$$

where f_p is the multiple fiber (and therefore the regular fiber $f = pf_p$ in homology).

- (5) The Donaldson series for $E(n; p) \# \overline{\mathbf{CP}}^2$ is $\mathbf{D}_{E(n; p)} e^{-\frac{E^2}{2}} \cosh(E)$ where E is dual to the exceptional class.

The argument differs in minor details between the cases when n is even or odd; for simplicity we will concentrate on n odd. The main point of this is that $E(n; p)$ is not spin when n is odd.

Let S_0 denote the standard (complex) 2-sphere in \mathbf{CP}^2 , viewed as a submanifold in $Y_n \# \mathbf{CP}^2$, and let S'_p denote the analogous sphere in $E(n; p) \# \mathbf{CP}^2$. Using the first two items, choose a diffeomorphism of $E(n; p) \# \mathbf{CP}^2$ with $Y_n \# \mathbf{CP}^2$. Since S'_p is not characteristic, any initial choice of diffeomorphism may be varied by a self-diffeomorphism of $Y_n \# \mathbf{CP}^2$ to ensure that the image of S'_p is homologous to S_0 . Denote this image, viewed as a sphere in $Y_n \# \mathbf{CP}^2$ or in Z_n , by S_p . Note that the homology of Y_n may be identified with the orthogonal complement to S_p , with respect to the intersection pairing, and hence the image of $H_2(E(n; p))$ is $H_2(Y_n)$.

As in [16], the (-1) -spheres $S_p \pm E_1 + E_2$ in Z_n give rise to reflections ρ_p^\pm , and we set

$$f_p = (\rho_p^+ \circ \rho_p^-) \circ (\rho_0^+ \circ \rho_0^-)^{-1}.$$

Because S_p and S_0 are homologous, the action of f_p on homology is trivial, and thus [15, 3] f_p is homotopic to the identity. The image of $\mathbf{D}(f_p)$ under r_Y^* is the Donaldson series of $E(n; p)$, and so is given by the formula in item 4 above. Expanding the hyperbolic sines, we see that $E(n; p)$ has $(n-1)p$ basic classes, and so there are the same number of basic classes for $r_Y^*(\mathbf{D}(f_p))$. Thus the f_p generate an infinitely generated subgroup of $\text{Diff}_H(Z_n)$. \square

References

- [1] **F J Almgren, Jr**, *The homotopy groups of the integral cycle groups*, Topology, 1 (1962) 257–299
- [2] **D Burghlea, R Lashof, M Rothenberg**, *Groups of automorphisms of manifolds*, with an appendix (“The topological category”) by E Pedersen, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 473, Springer–Verlag, Berlin (1975)
- [3] **T Cochran, N Habegger**, *On the homotopy theory of simply connected four manifolds*, Topology, 29 (1990) 419–440
- [4] **S K Donaldson**, *Polynomial invariants of smooth 4-manifolds*, Topology, 29 (1990) 257–315
- [5] **S Donaldson, P Kronheimer**, *The Geometry of Four-Manifolds*, Clarendon Press, Oxford (1990)
- [6] **R Fintushel, R J Stern**, *Rational blowdowns of smooth 4-manifolds*, J. Diff. Geom. 46 (1997) 181–235
- [7] **D Kotschick**, *$SO(3)$ -invariants for 4-manifolds with $b_2^+ = 1$* , Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 63 (1991) 426–448
- [8] **D Kotschick, J W Morgan**, *$SO(3)$ -invariants for 4-manifolds with $b_2^+ = 1$: II*, J. Diff. Geom. 39 (1994) 433–456
- [9] **P Kronheimer, T Mrowka**, *Embedded surfaces and the structure of Donaldson’s polynomial invariants*, J. Diff. Geom. 41 (1995) 573–734
- [10] **R Mandelbaum**, *On the topology of elliptic surfaces*, from: “Studies in algebraic topology”, vol. 5 of Adv. in Math. Suppl. Stud., Academic Press, New York (1979) 143–166
- [11] **R Mandelbaum**, *Four-dimensional topology: an introduction*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 2 (1980) 1–159
- [12] **B Moishezon**, *Complex surfaces and connected sums of complex projective planes*, with an appendix by R Livne, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 603, Springer–Verlag, Berlin (1977)
- [13] **J W Morgan, T S Mrowka**, *A note on Donaldson’s polynomial invariants*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices, (1992) 223–230
- [14] **J R Munkres**, *Elementary differential topology*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., (1966), revised edition, Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 54
- [15] **F Quinn**, *Isotopy of 4-manifolds*, J. Diff. Geom. 24 (1986) 343–372
- [16] **D Ruberman**, *An obstruction to smooth isotopy in dimension 4*, Math. Res. Lett. 5 (1998) 743–758
- [17] **C T C Wall**, *Diffeomorphisms of 4-manifolds*, Jour. Lond. Math. Soc. 39 (1964) 131–140

*Department of Mathematics, Brandeis University
Waltham, MA 02254, USA*

Email: `ruberman@binah.cc.brandeis.edu`

Received: 14 October 1999 Revised: 18 November 1999